

$k$  a field

$R$   $k$ -algebra (commutative)

$$\rightarrow HH(R/k) = R \otimes_{R \otimes_k R}^L R$$

$$HH_*(R/k) = H_*(HH(R/k))$$

$$\rightarrow \text{if } R \text{ smooth}/k, \\ HH_*(R/k) \cong \Omega_{R/k}^*$$

$\rightarrow R$  a commutative  $k$ -alg, then

$HH(R/k)$  is a simplicial comm  $k$ -alg  
w/  $S^1$ -action

Universal

$$R \rightarrow HH(R/k)$$

$\rightarrow S^1$ -action on h'py groups recovers de Rham  
differentials

Can also allow  $k$  to be a more general ring,

$$HH(R/k) = R \overset{L}{\otimes} R$$

$R \overset{L}{\otimes}_k R$  ← also denote  $k$ -linear tensor product

Try to make  $k$  "smaller"

Ex) if  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$  consider  $HH(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$   $R$   $\mathbb{F}_p$ -alg

Potentially more information  $HH(R/\mathbb{Z})$

In fact,  $HH(R/\mathbb{Z})$  determines  $HH(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$

Calculation:  $HH(\mathbb{F}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_* = \Gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\sigma), |\sigma| = 2$

divided power algebra

Idea of THH is to replace base by the sphere spectrum (initial object in  $E_{\infty}$ -ring spectra)

Then divided powers go away!

Def  $R$  a comm ring

$$THH(R) = R \wedge_{R \wedge R} R$$

$THH(R)$  is an  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum, equipped w/  
an  $S^1$ -action & a map  $R \rightarrow THH(R)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  initial for this structure.  $(THH(R) = S^1 \otimes R)$

Theorem (Bökstedt):

$$THH(\mathbb{F}_p)_* = \mathbb{F}_p[\sigma], \quad |\sigma| = 2.$$

$$THH(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p \wedge_{\mathbb{F}_p \wedge \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p \leftarrow \text{dual Steenrod alg}$$

$$(\mathbb{F}_p \wedge \mathbb{F}_p)_* = \text{dual Steenrod algebra}$$

Can also be proved using the fact that  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is  
a Thom spectrum. (get a formula for Thom  
spectra).

Remark: If char.  $\mathbb{Q}$ , ( $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -alg)

then  $\mathrm{THH}(R) \simeq \mathrm{HH}(R/\mathbb{Q})$ .

(In char.  $p$  (or mixed char.), you do get more information.)

Statement:  $R$  an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -alg

$\mathrm{THH}(R)$  is a  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -module

&  $\mathrm{THH}(R) \wedge_{\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq \mathrm{HH}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$

Note that  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)_* \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\sigma]$ , so

this relative smash product is

$\mathrm{cofib}(\sigma: \Sigma^2 \mathrm{THH}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)) \simeq \mathrm{HH}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

$\mathrm{THH}(R)$  is a "1-parameter deformation" of  $\mathrm{HH}(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$   
(along parameter  $\sigma$ ).

(Analogous to the fact that de Rham cohomology  
has a 1-parameter deformation given by crystalline  
cohomology, parameter  $p$ .)

THH has additional structure than the  $S^1$ -action.

Called a cyclotomic spectrum.

Can be expressed using language of  $G$ -equivariant spectra.

→ Can form  $\mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_p^n}$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

→ These are related to each other by certain maps  $F, V, R$  (Frobenius, Verschiebung, Restriction).

$$F: \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_{p^{n+1}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_p^n}$$

$$V: \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_p^n} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_{p^{n+1}}}$$

$$R: \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_{p^{n+1}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_p^n}$$

(come from the fact that one has a genuine equivariant spectrum).

← relies on cyclotomic structure.

These maps look like the structure on the  $p$ -typical Witt vectors of a (comm ring).

Theorem (Hesselholt-Madsen):  $R$  a comm ring,

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{THH}(R)^{C_p^n}) \cong W_{n+1}(R) \quad \&$$

$F, V, R$  correspond to Witt vector  
Frobenius, Verschiebung, Restriction maps.

Def (Niklas-Scholze):

A cyclotomic spectrum is a spectrum  $X$  w/

$S^1 \curvearrowright X$  together w/ a map

("cyclotomic Frobenius")

$$\varphi_p: X \rightarrow X^{t\mathbb{F}_p}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ S^1 \end{array} \simeq \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ S^1/\mathbb{F}_p \end{array} \quad \text{equivariant map}$$

Claim:  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  is naturally a cyclotomic spectrum  
( $\mathrm{HH}(R/k)$  generally does not have this structure).

Lets define a more ~~refined~~ invariant of rings  
than  $\mathrm{HH}(R/k)$  <sup>hs1</sup>  $\rightsquigarrow$  can form

topological cyclic homology  $\mathrm{TC}(R) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CycSp}} \left( \mathbb{1}, \mathrm{THH}(R) \right)$

$\rightsquigarrow$   $\mathrm{TC}$  is relevant for algebraic K-theory.

Why does  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$  have this additional structure (as a cyclotomic spectrum)?

$R$  a commutative ring, we need to produce a map

$$\varphi: \mathrm{THH}(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{t\mathbb{C}_p}$$

( $S^1$ -equivariant)

Recall that  $\mathrm{THH}(R) = S^1 \otimes R$  (in  $E_\infty$ -ring spectra)

To give an  $S^1$ -equiv map out of  $\mathrm{THH}(R)$ , suffices to give a map out of  $R$ .

Constructing cyclotomic Frobenius is equivalent to  $R \longrightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)^{t\mathbb{C}_p}$  (as a map of  $E_\infty$ -rings).

Here it is essential to work over the sphere spectrum!

---

Consider the following setup.

$M$  abelian group

$$\varphi: M \longrightarrow M^{\otimes p}$$

$$x \longmapsto x \otimes x \otimes \dots \otimes x$$

This is not a homomorphism, but can be corrected as follows

$$\mathbb{C}_p \curvearrowright M^{\otimes p} \quad \text{permuting the factors.}$$

$\varphi(x+y) \neq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  but the discrepancy is a

$\mathbb{C}_p$ -hom.

Ex)  $p=2$ .

$$\cancel{x \otimes} (x+y) \otimes (x+y) = x \otimes x + y \otimes y + \underbrace{x \otimes y + y \otimes x}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{hom. (sum of} \\ x \otimes y)}}.$$

Construction  $M$  abelian group

$$\varphi: M \rightarrow \frac{(M^{\otimes p})_{\mathbb{C}_p}}{\text{image of homs } (M^{\otimes p})_{\mathbb{C}_p} \rightarrow (M^{\otimes p})_{\mathbb{C}_p}} = \hat{H}^0(\mathbb{C}_p, M^{\otimes p}).$$

$$x \mapsto x \otimes x \otimes \dots \otimes x$$

If  $M$  is a commutative ring

$$\varphi: M \rightarrow \frac{(M^{\otimes p})_{\mathbb{C}_p}}{\text{homs}} \quad \text{is a ring map}$$





These types are how one defines the cyclotomic Frobenius of maps.

In the setting of spectra, one has an analog of these diagonal maps.

Construction If  $X$  a spectrum,

there is a natural map

$$X \rightarrow (X^{\wedge p})^{\mathbb{F}_p}$$

version of the previous map for spectra.

Why? RHS is an exact lax symmetric functor of  $X$ .

Gives a natural map from  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p)^{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .

(Explained in the paper by Nikolaus-Scholze).

This does not work in derived category of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

i.e.  $V \in D(\mathbb{F}_p)$

$$V \dashrightarrow (V^{\otimes p})^{\otimes \mathbb{C}_p}$$

can't produce Frobenius map (vs  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map).

---

Return to THH  $\longrightarrow$

$R$  a comm ring

Want

$$R \xrightarrow{\text{cyclotomic Frobenius}} \text{THH}(R)^{\otimes \mathbb{C}_p}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{general} \\ \text{Frobenius} \end{array} \nearrow \begin{array}{c} \text{small} \\ \nearrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (R^{\wedge p})^{\otimes \mathbb{C}_p} \end{array}$$

b/c  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -equiv

$$R^{\wedge p} \longrightarrow \text{THH}(R)$$

relies on the fact  
that over sphere spectrum

$$\mathbb{C}_p \leq S^1$$

Examples:

$$\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)_* = \mathbb{F}_p[\sigma], \quad |\sigma| = 2$$

$$\text{THH}(\mathbb{F}_p)^{\otimes \mathbb{C}_p} = \mathbb{F}_p[x^{\pm 1}], \quad |x| = -2.$$

The Frobenius

$\varphi: THH(\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow THH(\mathbb{F}_p)^{tC_p}$  is  
an equivalence on connective covers. ( $\sigma \mapsto \bar{x}^{-1}$ ).

More generally, for any  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$THH(R) \rightarrow THH(R)^{tC_p} \cong HP(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$$

requires some  
work.

This is a bit surprising, b/c

-  $THH(R)$  (slogan: 1-parameter def of  $HH(R/\mathbb{F}_p)$ )

- RHS is  $HP(R/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow$  de Rham cohomology  $R/\mathbb{F}_p$

If  $R$  is smooth over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\varphi$  is  
an isomorphism in large degrees ( $\geq \dim$ ).

This leads to the fact that  $TC(R)$  is bounded  
degrees.

Thm (Hesselholt):  $R$  smooth alg over a perfect field  $k$ , then

$$THH(R)_{\otimes} \cong \Omega_{R/k}^{\otimes} \otimes_k k[\sigma] \quad |\sigma| = 2.$$

& cyclotomic Frobenius

$$THH(R) \rightarrow THH(R)^{t\varphi} = HP(R/k) \text{ is an}$$

equivalence in degrees  $\geq \dim$ .

("Cartier-type operator").

More generally, can calculate

$$THH(R)_{\otimes}^{G_n} \cong W_{n+1} \Omega_{R/k}^{\otimes} [\sigma_n],$$

$$|\sigma_n| = 2.$$

$R/k$

$HH(R/k)^{hsl}$

"cyclic homology"

$HH(R/k)^{hsl}$

"negative cyclic homology"

$TC(R)_p^{-1}$

in degrees  $\geq -1$

$HH(R/k)^{hsl}$

not bounded

$$TC(R) = \text{Hom}_{\text{CycSp}}(\mathbb{1}, \text{THH}(R))$$

$$TC(R)_p^\wedge = \text{eq}\left(\text{THH}(R)^{\text{hsl}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi} \end{array} \text{THH}(R)^{\text{tsl}}\right)$$

$\mathcal{C}$  stable  $\infty$ -category  $\rightsquigarrow$   $\text{THH}(\mathcal{C})$   
 ( $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}(R)$ ,  $R$  a comm ring)  $\xrightarrow{\text{cyclotomic spectrum}}$

$\curvearrowright$  can define  $S^1$ -action,  
 $TC, \dots$

In comm case,  $\text{THH}$  has universal property  $S^1 \otimes$ .

$\text{THH}: \text{stable } \infty\text{-categories} \longrightarrow \text{cyclotomic spectra}$

$$TC(R) = \lim_{\leftarrow R, F} \text{THH}(R)^{C_p^n}$$

$$= \left( \lim_{\leftarrow R} \text{THH}(R)^{C_p^n} \right)^F$$